Rec'd PCT/PTO 15 OCT 2006

10/553320

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				•	976
То:				'PCT	Canalation
				RITTEN OPINION OF TONAL SEARCHING	
i i				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)		
Applicant's or a	gent's file reference	·	FOR FURTHER ACTION		
09635	5			See paragraph 2 below	
International ap	plication No.	International filing date (
	2004/005456	16.04.2004			
International Pa	tent Classification (IPC) or bot	h national classification an	d IPC	<u> </u>	
		_			
Applicant					
SENJU I	PHARMACEUTICAL	CO. LTD.			:
1. This o	ppinion contains indications rela	ating to the following items			
1. 11113			-		
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion .				
	Box No. II Priority				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			licability	
		ty of invention	4/3/2 14		- dysterial
	Box No. V Reasoned s applicabilit	tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> y; citations and explanatio	.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such sta	novelty, inventive step or internent	ndustriai
	Box No. VI Certain doc	cuments cited			
	Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international ap	plication		•
	Box No. VIII Cartain obs	ervations on the internatio	nal application		
2. FUR	THER ACTION				
Intern than t this I	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.			ooses an Authority other that written opinions of	
writte	s opinion is, as provided above on reply together, where appro ISA/220 or before the expiratio	priate, with amendments,	, before the expiration	n of 3 months from the c	to submit to the IPEA a late of mailing of Form
For fi	arther options, see Form PCT/IS	SA/220.			
3. For fi	urther details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mail	ing address of the ISA/JP		Authorized officer		
Transc and trans		•			
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.		
- causimile NO.		•			

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 09635	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/005456	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 April 2004 (16.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 April 2003 (18.04.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO. LTD.			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
	to the international premimary re		
3.	This report contains indications r	elating to the following item	s:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	·
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	1
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	r Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial dexplanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inte	rnational application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).		
			Date of issuance of this report 02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes			Authorized officer Masashi Honda

Masashi Honda

Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005456

Box	r No. I Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:
"	
ļ	
İ	
1	
l	
	•
	· _

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005456

Box No. V Reasoned statemen		nt under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; anations supporting such statement		
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-12	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
		Claims		NO
l				

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-12

Document 1: M. NEGISHI et al., Seikagaku, 2002; 74 (5), 395-8, [Japanese]

Document 2: M. NIKOLIC, Int. J. Biochem Cell Biol, 2002, 34(7): 731-45

Document 3: M. LEHMANN et al., J. Neurosci., 1999, 19(17), 7537-47

Document 4: P. DERGHAM et al., J Neurosci, 2002, 22(15), 6570-7

Document 5: US 2002/0119140 A1

Document 6: WO 02/083175 A1 & EP 1378247 A1

Document 7: WO 01/068607 A1 & EP 1270570 A1

Document 8: JP 2003-073357 A

As described in documents 1-8, the fact that the formation and expansion of neurite outgrowth is facilitated by inhibition of Rho is confirmed in a plural type of neurons. As a result, studying the effect for corneal nerves using a Rho inhibitor can be easily carried out by a person skilled in the art based on the knowledge obtained from these documents. Making use of the effect that the corneal nerves have on a variety of different diseases could easily be foreseen by a person skilled in the art.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005456

Box No. II	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questi applicable	ons whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
	claims Nos. 13-16
becaus	e:
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 13-16 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	The subject matter of claims 13-16 relates to a method for treatment of the human body by therapy.
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
u	
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. 13-16
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
	the written form has not been furnished
	the computer readable form does not comply with the standard has not been furnished does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/005456

Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The subject matter of claims 1-12 relates to an agent for promoting corneal neural outgrowth formation, an agent for promoting extension of corneal neural axons, an agent for repairing corneal perception and a dry eye therapeutic agent whose active ingredient is a compound defined by the desired characteristics as a "Rho protein inhibitor." The subject matter of claims 1-12 encompasses all compounds which have these properties. However, what is disclosed in the sense of PCT Article 5 is merely an extremely small part of the compound claimed and is not fully supported by the disclosure of the specification in the sense of PCT Article 6.

Furthermore, even after taking into consideration the common general technical knowledge at the time of filing, the "Rho protein inhibitor" cannot specify the scope of the compounds which have these properties; therefore, claims 1-12 lack the requisite clarity of PCT Article 6.